Exhibit 11-3 Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Program for Homeless Individuals

Guide for Review of SRO Other Federal Requirements				
Name of Gran	tee:			
Staff Consulted:				
Name(s) of		Date		
Reviewer(s)				

NOTE: All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). Note, however, that certain questions in this Exhibit reference reviews conducted using Exhibits elsewhere in this Handbook. If a requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. Where responses to questions for this Exhibit are based on other Exhibit reviews, the conclusions should be noted here, as applicable. Other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a "finding."

<u>Instructions:</u> This Exhibit is designed to evaluate the grantee's compliance with other applicable Federal requirements. For certain requirements, a reference is made to other Exhibits or Chapters in this Handbook. If other Exhibits are used to monitor these areas, only the conclusion(s) should be noted here with a cross-reference to the supporting review documentation/Exhibit. If the requirement does not pertain to the SRO grantee, mark "N/A." If the area is not covered during this monitoring, write under the applicable "Describe Basis for Conclusion" box: "Not covered due to _____ (provide reason)." If compliance monitoring for the areas below has been conducted in the past 12 months, under either the ESG or other HUD programs, it is not necessary to review these areas again unless the last risk analysis results or subsequent information raise questions or concerns.

Questions:

A. DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE

1.			
	Does the grantee have a drug-free workplace statement per the requirements of		
	24 CFR 21.200?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

11-1 09/2005

Exhibit 11-3

Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Program for Homeless Individuals

2.				
	If the requirements of the Drug-Free Workplace actions were monitored, is the grantee in compliance?			
	the grantee in compitance:	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
<u>B.</u>	UNIFORM RELOCATION ASSISTANCE AND REAL PROPERTY ACQU	UISIT	NOI	
3.	POLICIES ACT OF 1970, as amended			
	If SRO funds have been used to assist projects involving acquisition, demolition, or rehabilitation, has the grantee budgeted or paid for relocation assistance to displaced persons or businesses? [24 CFR 882.810]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
<u>C.</u> 4.	DAVIS BACON ACT			
	For projects containing nine or more assisted housing units, did the grantee comply with applicable Davis Bacon requirements? [24 CFR 882.804(b)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

09/2005 11-2

Exhibit 11-3 Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Program for Homeless Individuals

D. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCEDURES

5.				
	For the SRO activities reviewed, does the grantee have either an approval lette	r		
	from HUD or a certification on file that the activity is not subject to an		Yes	No
	environmental review? (If no, the program may warrant further review per the	,		
	guidance in Chapter 21 of this Handbook.)			
	[24 CFR 882.804(c)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
_	A E A D ANA E A D A EED CENTED DE CAMPENTE CENTER			
E.	LEAD HAZARD ABATEMENT REQUIREMENTS			
6.	If this area was reviewed did the areates comply with annihable			
	If this area was reviewed, did the grantee comply with applicable			
	requirements? (Use pertinent Exhibits in Chapter 24 and note conclusions below.)	'es	No	N/A
	[24 CFR 882.404(d)] Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

11-3 09/2005